



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/823,175	04/13/2004	Mary J. Ruwart	RUW-1001	6078
75	10/06/2005	EXAM	INER	
Nancy Lord Johnson, Ltd.		MCCORMICK EWO	MCCORMICK EWOLDT, SUSAN BETH	
Suite 3 361 South Frontage Rd.		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	Pahrump, NV 89048		1655	
			DATE MAILED: 10/06/2003	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

OCT 2 6 2005

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/823,175	RUWART, MARY J.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	S. B. McCormick-Ewoldt	1655
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period verified to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirr vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONEI	L. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Air 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected.	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplished any not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority application from the International Bureau 	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	PTO-413) te atent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/823,175

Art Unit: 1655

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant has cancelled the claims drawn to different inventions so the restriction requirement is moot.

Claims Pending

Applicant has cancelled claims 2, 18-29. Claims 1 and 3-17 will be examined on the merits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 3-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mease et al. (US 6,201,022 B1), McClung (US 6,579,543 B1) and Murad (US 2003/0007930).

Mease et al. (US 6,201,022 B1) discloses a composition with omega-3, that contains eiosapentaenoic acid (EPA), docosahexaenoic acid (DHA) and gamma-linolenic acid (GLA)), a pharmaceutical acceptable carrier such as cocoa butter and vitamin E (i.e. tocopherols) to be used in a composition for treating neuritic pain syndrome such as brought on by burns (column 3, lines 62-67; column 4, lines 57-67 and column 5, lines 2-8, 53-55; Table 2 and Example 11). Mease et al. do not disclose using lavender oil, Sodium PCA or Methyl-Sulfonyl-Methane.

McClung (US 6,579,543 B1) discloses a composition to be applied for relief of pain brought and by burns and sunburns. The composition contains methyl-sulfonyl-methane (MSM) lavender and omega-3 (column 2, lines 25-35, 67; column 3, lines 46-56; column 4, lines 16-46; column 17, lines 22-23, 55).

Murad (US 2003/0007930) discloses a topical composition for dermatological conditions caused by aging or by extrinsic factors such as sunlight or radiation and wrinkles and sun

Application/Control Number: 10/823,175

Art Unit: 1655

damaged skin. The composition contains hydrophic agents such as tocopherols (vitamin E) and moisturizing agents such as sodium PCA and omega-3 which contains gamma-linolenic acid ([0043], 0044], [0053], [0054], [0055]).

These references taken together disclose a composition that comprises omega-3, tocopherols, cocoa butter, lavender, sodium PCA and Methyl-Sulfonyl-Methane that can be used to relieve pain due to burns. Thus, a person of ordinary skill in the art would reasonably expect that omega-3, tocopherols, cocoa butter, lavender, sodium PCA and Methyl-Sulfonyl-Methane would be used to relieve pain from burns as taught by the references. Based on this reasonable expectation of success, a person of ordinary skill in the art would be motivated to modify the teachings of the references.

These references show that it was well known in the art at the time of the invention to use omega-3, tocopherols, cocoa butter, lavender, sodium PCA and Methyl-Sulfonyl-Methane in compositions that aid in relief from burns and other dermatitis conditions. It is well known that it is *prima facie* obvious to combine two or more ingredients each of which is taught by the prior art to be useful for the same purpose in order to form a third composition which is useful for the same purpose. The idea for combining them flows logically from their having been used individually in the prior art. *In re* Pinten, 459 F.2d 1053, 173 USPQ 801 (CCPA 1972); *In re* Susi, 58 CCPA 1074, 1079-80; 440 F.2d 442, 445; 169 USPQ 423, 426 (1971); *In re* Crockett, 47 CCPA 1018, 1020-21; 279 F.2d 274, 276-277; 126 USPQ 186, 188 (1960).

Based on the disclosure by these references that omega-3, tocopherols, cocoa butter, lavender, sodium PCA and Methyl-Sulfonyl-Methane are used in compositions that aid in relief from burns and other dermatitis conditions, an artisan of ordinary skill would have a reasonable expectation that a combination of the substances would also be useful in creating compositions aid in relief from burns and other dermatitis conditions. Therefore, the artisan would have been motivated to combine omega-3, tocopherols, cocoa butter, lavender, sodium PCA and Methyl-Sulfonyl-Methane into a single composition. No patentable invention resides in combining old ingredients of known properties where the results obtained thereby are no more than the additive effect of the ingredients. See *In re* Sussman, 1943 C.D. 518; *In re* Huellmantel 139 USPQ 496; *In re* Crockett 126 USPO 186.

Page 4

Art Unit: 1655

The references also do not specifically teach the ingredients in the amounts claimed by Applicant. The amount of a specific ingredient in a composition is clearly a result effective parameter that a person of ordinary skill in the art would routinely optimize. Optimization of parameters is a routine practice that would be obvious for a person of ordinary skill in the art to employ. It would have been customary for an artisan of ordinary skill to determine the optimal amount of each ingredient in order to best achieve the desired results. Thus, absent some demonstration of unexpected results from the claimed parameters, this optimization of ingredient amount would have been obvious at the time of Applicant's invention.

From the teaching of the references, it is apparent that one of ordinary skill in the art would have had a reasonable expectation of success in producing the claimed invention.

Therefore, the invention as a whole was prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made, as evidenced by the cited references, especially in the absence of evidence to the contrary.

Summary

No claim is allowed.

<u>Correspondence</u>

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Susan B. McCormick-Ewoldt whose telephone number is (571) 272-0981. The Examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 6:00 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Bruce Campell, can be reached on (571) 272-0974. The official fax number for the group is (571) 273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/823,175

Art Unit: 1655

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Avoim D. be 9-27-05

sbme

SUSAN COE PRIMARY EXAMINER

BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Notice of References Cited	Application/Control No. 10/823,175	Applicant(s)/Pater Reexamination RUWART, MARY	n	
Notice of References Offed	Examiner	Art Unit		
	S. B. McCormick-Ewoldt	1655	Page 1 of 1	

U.S. PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Name	Classification
*	Α	US-6,201,022 B1	03-2001	Mease et al.	514/560
*	В	US-6,579,543 B1	06-2003	McClung, Jackie H.	424/728
*	С	US-2003/0007939 A1	01-2003	Murad, Howard	424/61
	D	US-			
	Ε	US-			
	F	US-			ı
	G	US-			
	Η	US-			
	1	US-			
	J	US-		·	
	К	US-			
	L	US-			
	М	US-			

FOREIGN PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Document Number Country Code-Number-Kind Code	Date MM-YYYY	Country	Name	Classification
	N					
	0					
	Р					
	Q					
	R					
	S					
	Т					

NON-PATENT DOCUMENTS

*		Include as applicable: Author, Title Date, Publisher, Edition or Volume, Pertinent Pages)
	C	
	V	
	W	
	х	

*A copy of this reference is not being furnished with this Office action. (See MPEP § 707.05(a).) Dates in MM-YYYY format are publication dates. Classifications may be US or foreign.

RETURN TO SENDER

JOHN361* 89C482017 1904 17 10/14/05 FORWARD TIME EXP RTN TO SEND JOHNSON'NANCY 5079 N DIXIE HWY #334 OAKLAND PARK FL 33334-4090

OFFICIAL BUSINESS

ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1450
IF UNDELIVERABLE RETURN IN TEN DAYS

AN EQUAL OPPORTUNITY EMPLOYER

MANUEL MAILED FROM ZIP CODE 22,614